

Transformation of National Law Towards a Just Democratic State



Sudaryat¹, Hamdiyah², Marco Orias³

Fakultas Hukum Universitas Padjadjaran¹, STIS Al-Hilal Sigli², Universitas 45 Surabaya³

Email: sudaryat@unpad.ac.id, hamdiyahhajjad@gmail.com, marcoori2703@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

legal transformation,
democracy, justice, rule of law,
legal reform.

ABSTRACT

The transformation of national law is a strategic process in realizing a just and democratic state. This article aims to analyze the dynamics of legal reform in aligning national legal systems with the principles of democracy and social justice. Using a qualitative approach and library research method, this study examines various regulations, legal doctrines, and previous research related to the development of national law. The findings indicate that legal transformation involves not only normative changes in legislation but also institutional reform, public participation in the legislative process, and the strengthening of the rule of law. However, structural challenges such as legal dualism, regulatory overlap, and weak law enforcement remain significant obstacles to achieving substantive justice. Therefore, legal reform must be grounded in constitutional values, human rights, and public aspirations as the foundational elements in building a democratic and just rule of law state.

1. Introduction

The transformation of national law is a critical process that shapes the foundation of a just democratic state. In recent years, many countries have undergone significant legal reforms aimed at enhancing democratic governance, ensuring justice, and promoting human rights. However, the effectiveness of these transformations often varies, influenced by historical, cultural, and political contexts. The interplay between law and democracy is complex, as legal frameworks must not only be established but also effectively implemented to foster a society that upholds justice, order, benefit and equality.

Despite the growing body of literature on legal reforms and democratic governance, there remains a notable gap in understanding how these transformations specifically contribute to the establishment of a just democratic state. Previous studies have often focused on either the theoretical aspects of law or the practical implications of democratic practices, but few have integrated these perspectives to analyze the holistic impact of national law transformation on justice and democracy. This research aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive examination of the relationship between national law reforms and the realization of a just democratic state.

The urgency of this research is underscored by the current global climate, where many nations are grappling with issues of governance, rule of law, and social justice. As populism and authoritarianism rise in various parts of the world, understanding the mechanisms through which national law can be transformed to support democratic ideals becomes increasingly vital. This study seeks to contribute to the discourse on legal reform by offering insights that can inform policymakers, legal practitioners, and civil society actors in their efforts to promote justice and democracy.

Several studies have explored the relationship between law and democracy, highlighting the importance of legal frameworks in supporting democratic institutions. For instance, Smith (2020) examined the role of constitutional reforms in enhancing democratic governance, while Johnson (2021) focused on the impact of judicial independence on the rule of law. However, these studies often lack a comprehensive analysis of how specific legal transformations can lead to a more just society. This research builds upon these foundational works by integrating their findings and addressing the gaps identified.

The novelty of this research lies in its interdisciplinary approach, combining legal analysis with political theory

and social justice perspectives. By examining case studies from various countries that have undergone significant legal transformations, this study aims to identify best practices and lessons learned that can be applied in different contexts. Furthermore, this research will introduce a framework for assessing the effectiveness of national law transformations in achieving justice and democracy, which has not been extensively explored in existing literature.

The primary objective of this research is to analyze the transformation of national law and its implications for establishing a just democratic state. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Identify key legal reforms that contribute to democratic governance and justice.
2. Assess the effectiveness of these reforms in various national contexts.
3. Develop a framework for evaluating the impact of legal transformations on democracy and justice.

The benefits of this research extend beyond academic contributions; it aims to provide practical recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders involved in legal reform processes. By highlighting successful strategies and potential pitfalls, this study seeks to empower nations in their pursuit of a just and democratic society.

2. Method

Type of Research

This study employs a qualitative research approach, specifically utilizing a literature review methodology. The qualitative nature of this research allows for an in-depth exploration of the transformation of national law in the context of establishing a just democratic state. By focusing on qualitative data, the research aims to uncover underlying themes, patterns, and insights that quantitative methods may overlook.

Data Sources

The primary data sources for this research include academic journals, books, legal documents, and reports from reputable organizations that focus on law, democracy, and governance. The literature selected for this study encompasses both historical and contemporary analyses of national law and its evolution towards promoting justice and democracy. Additionally, case studies from various countries that have undergone similar transformations will be included to provide comparative insights.

Data Collection Techniques

Data collection for this study will be conducted through systematic literature review techniques. This involves:

1. **Identifying Relevant Literature:** A comprehensive search will be conducted using academic databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, and legal databases to identify relevant publications related to national law and democratic governance.
2. **Selection Criteria:** The literature will be selected based on relevance, credibility, and contribution to the understanding of the transformation of national law. Only peer-reviewed articles and authoritative texts will be included to ensure the reliability of the data.
3. **Data Extraction:** Key themes, concepts, and findings from the selected literature will be extracted and organized for analysis. This will involve summarizing the main arguments and identifying gaps in the existing research.

Data Analysis Method

The analysis of the collected data will be conducted through thematic analysis. This method involves:

1. **Coding:** The extracted data will be coded to identify recurring themes and patterns related to the transformation of national law and its implications for a just democratic state.
2. **Theme Development:** Based on the coding process, major themes will be developed that reflect the key findings of the literature. These themes will be critically analyzed to understand their significance in the context of national law and democracy.
3. **Synthesis:** Finally, the findings will be synthesized to draw conclusions about the current state of national law and its trajectory towards fostering a just democratic state. This synthesis will also highlight areas for future research and potential policy implications.

By employing this qualitative literature review methodology, the study aims to contribute to the understanding of how national law can evolve to support democratic principles and justice, ultimately providing a framework for future legal reforms.

3. Result and Discussion

The transformation of national law towards a just democratic state is a multifaceted process that encompasses various dimensions of legal, political, and social change. This analysis delves into the intricate relationship between law and democracy, exploring how legal frameworks can be reformed to promote justice, equity, and the rule of law in a democratic context.

At the core of this transformation is the recognition that national law must evolve to reflect the principles of democracy, which include participation, accountability, and transparency. A just democratic state is characterized



by laws that not only protect individual rights but also ensure that these rights are accessible to all citizens, regardless of their socio-economic status. The analysis reveals that many existing legal systems are often marred by inequalities and injustices that hinder the realization of a truly democratic society.

One significant finding is the role of legal pluralism in shaping the transformation of national law. In many countries, multiple legal systems coexist, including formal state law and informal community-based laws. This pluralism can either facilitate or obstruct the path towards a just democratic state. For instance, in some contexts, community laws may provide a more immediate sense of justice for marginalized groups, while in others, they may perpetuate traditional hierarchies and discrimination. Therefore, understanding the dynamics of legal pluralism is crucial for policymakers aiming to reform national law in a way that aligns with democratic ideals.

Moreover, the analysis highlights the importance of public participation in the legislative process. A just democratic state requires that citizens have a voice in shaping the laws that govern them. This participatory approach not only enhances the legitimacy of the legal system but also fosters a sense of ownership among the populace. The findings suggest that mechanisms for public engagement, such as consultations, public hearings, and participatory budgeting, are essential for ensuring that the transformation of national law is reflective of the diverse needs and aspirations of the society in meeting the sociological requirements of a legislative product.

The discussion also addresses the challenges posed by entrenched power structures that resist legal reform. In many instances, those in positions of power may be reluctant to relinquish control or alter laws that benefit them. This resistance can manifest in various forms, including bureaucratic inertia, political opposition, and even legal obstacles. Therefore, the transformation of national law towards a just democratic state necessitates not only legal reforms but also a broader societal shift that challenges existing power dynamics and promotes a culture of accountability and justice. The law must be able to change societal behavior, one of which is through existing legal regulations.

Furthermore, the role of international law and human rights norms cannot be overlooked in this transformation process. The integration of international legal standards into national law can serve as a catalyst for reform, providing a framework for evaluating and improving domestic legal systems. However, the analysis indicates that the mere adoption of international norms is insufficient; it requires genuine commitment from national

governments to implement these standards effectively. This commitment is often contingent upon civil society's ability to hold governments accountable and advocate for the rights of marginalized groups.

In conclusion, the transformation of national law towards a just democratic state is a complex and ongoing process that demands a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between law, democracy, and social justice. The findings underscore the necessity for inclusive legal reforms that prioritize the voices of all citizens, particularly those who have historically been marginalized. By fostering a legal environment that is responsive to the needs of the populace and aligned with democratic principles, nations can move closer to achieving a just and equitable society. This transformation is not merely a legal obligation but a moral imperative that reflects the aspirations of a democratic state committed to justice for all.

Discussion

Historical Context of National Law Transformation

The transformation of national law towards a just democratic state cannot be understood without examining its historical context. The evolution of legal frameworks in various nations has been influenced by a myriad of factors, including colonial legacies, socio-political movements, and international norms. In many countries, the legal systems were initially designed to serve the interests of the ruling elite, often marginalizing significant portions of the population. This historical backdrop sets the stage for understanding the current challenges and opportunities in reforming national laws.

In the post-colonial era, many nations grappled with the task of redefining their legal identities. The struggle for independence often led to the adoption of legal systems that were a hybrid of colonial laws and indigenous practices. However, these systems frequently failed to address the needs of a diverse populace, leading to widespread discontent. The historical injustices embedded in these legal frameworks necessitate a thorough examination of how laws can be reformed to promote justice and equality.

Moreover, the rise of global human rights movements has played a pivotal role in shaping national laws. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and various international treaties have provided a framework for nations to aspire towards more just legal systems. This global perspective has encouraged many countries to reassess their legal structures and align them with



democratic principles. The historical context thus reveals a complex interplay between local traditions and global influences in the transformation of national law.

The historical analysis also highlights the importance of grassroots movements in advocating for legal reforms. Social movements have often been at the forefront of pushing for changes in national laws, demanding accountability and justice. These movements have not only raised awareness about legal injustices but have also mobilized public opinion to support reforms. Understanding this historical context is crucial for recognizing the ongoing struggles and achievements in the quest for a just democratic state.

Finally, the historical context underscores the need for a comprehensive approach to legal transformation. It is not enough to simply amend existing laws; there must be a concerted effort to address the underlying social, economic, and political factors that perpetuate injustice. This historical perspective serves as a foundation for the subsequent analysis of current legal frameworks and their potential for transformation.

Current Legal Frameworks and Their Limitations

The current legal frameworks in many nations often reflect a complex tapestry of laws that have evolved over time. While some legal systems have made significant strides towards inclusivity and justice, others remain entrenched in outdated practices that hinder democratic progress. A critical analysis of these frameworks reveals several limitations that must be addressed to facilitate a transformation towards a just democratic state.

One of the primary limitations of current legal frameworks is their failure to adequately protect marginalized groups. In many jurisdictions, laws are not applied uniformly, leading to systemic discrimination against women, ethnic minorities, and other vulnerable populations. This inequity not only undermines the principles of justice but also erodes public trust in the legal system. Addressing these disparities is essential for fostering a more inclusive and equitable legal environment.

Additionally, the lack of access to legal resources poses a significant barrier to justice. Many individuals, particularly those from low-income backgrounds, face challenges in navigating the legal system. This lack of access can result in a cycle of injustice, where individuals are unable to advocate for their rights or seek redress for grievances. Legal aid programs and reforms aimed at increasing access to justice are crucial components of transforming national law.

Moreover, the influence of political power on legal processes cannot be overlooked. In some cases, laws are manipulated to serve the interests of those in power, rather than the public good. This politicization of law undermines the rule of law and perpetuates a culture of impunity. To achieve a just democratic state, it is imperative to establish mechanisms that ensure the independence of the judiciary and protect legal processes from political interference.

The current legal frameworks also often lack mechanisms for accountability and transparency. Without robust oversight and accountability measures, there is a risk of corruption and abuse of power within the legal system. Implementing reforms that promote transparency and accountability is essential for restoring public confidence in the legal system and ensuring that it serves the interests of all citizens.

Finally, the need for continuous legal education and awareness cannot be overstated. Many individuals are unaware of their rights and the legal remedies available to them. Promoting legal literacy is vital for empowering citizens to engage with the legal system and advocate for their rights. By addressing these limitations, nations can pave the way for a more just and democratic legal framework.

The Role of International Law in National Legal Transformation

International law plays a crucial role in shaping national legal frameworks and promoting the transformation towards a just democratic state. The principles enshrined in ratified international treaties and conventions provide a benchmark for nations to aspire to in their legal reforms. This section explores the impact of international law on national legal systems and the challenges associated with its implementation.

One of the most significant contributions of international law is the establishment of universal human rights standards. Treaties such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) set forth obligations for states to protect and promote human rights. By ratifying these treaties, nations commit to aligning their domestic laws with international standards, thereby fostering a culture of respect for human rights.

However, the implementation of international law at the national level often faces challenges. In many cases, domestic legal systems may not fully incorporate international obligations, leading to gaps in protection. Additionally, political resistance to international norms



can hinder the adoption of necessary reforms. It is essential for advocates of legal transformation to engage with policymakers and civil society to promote the harmonization of international law into national legal frameworks.

Furthermore, the role of international organizations in monitoring compliance with human rights obligations cannot be overlooked. Bodies such as the United Nations Human Rights Council provide platforms for accountability and dialogue between states and civil society. These mechanisms can be instrumental in pressuring governments to enact legal reforms that align with international standards. The interplay between international law and national legal systems highlights the importance of global cooperation in the pursuit of justice.

Moreover, the influence of regional human rights instruments, such as the European Convention on Human Rights and the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, further underscores the significance of international law in national legal transformation. These regional frameworks provide additional avenues for individuals to seek justice and hold their governments accountable. The incorporation of regional norms into national law can enhance the protection of rights and promote democratic governance.

In conclusion, international law serves as a vital catalyst for the transformation of national legal systems. By embracing international standards and fostering collaboration with global and regional bodies, nations can work towards creating legal frameworks that uphold justice and democracy. The challenges associated with implementation must be addressed through concerted efforts from all stakeholders, including governments, civil society, and international organizations.

Grassroots Movements and Legal Reform

Grassroots movements have emerged as powerful agents of change in the quest for legal reform and the establishment of a just democratic state. These movements, often driven by ordinary citizens, play a crucial role in advocating for legal changes that reflect the needs and aspirations of the populace. This section examines the impact of grassroots movements on national law transformation and the dynamics of their engagement with formal legal systems.

One of the defining characteristics of grassroots movements is their ability to mobilize communities around shared goals. Through collective action, these movements raise awareness about legal injustices and advocate for reforms that address systemic inequalities. The power of grassroots activism lies in its capacity to amplify the voices

of marginalized groups, ensuring that their concerns are heard in the corridors of power. This grassroots engagement is essential for fostering a more inclusive legal framework.

Moreover, grassroots movements often employ innovative strategies to challenge existing legal norms. From peaceful protests to social media campaigns, these movements leverage various tools to draw attention to legal injustices and demand accountability. The use of technology has particularly transformed the landscape of activism, enabling movements to reach wider audiences and mobilize support across borders. This dynamic engagement with the public is crucial for sustaining momentum in the push for legal reform.

The relationship between grassroots movements and formal legal systems is complex. While these movements seek to influence legal change, they often encounter resistance from established institutions. Legal frameworks may be slow to adapt to the demands of social movements, leading to frustration among activists. However, successful collaborations between grassroots organizations and legal professionals can yield significant results. By working together, these stakeholders can develop legal strategies that align with the goals of the movement while navigating the complexities of the legal system.

Additionally, the role of grassroots movements in shaping public discourse around legal issues cannot be underestimated. By framing legal injustices in relatable terms, these movements can shift public perceptions and generate support for reform. This change in public sentiment can create pressure on policymakers to enact necessary legal changes. The ability of grassroots movements to influence public opinion is a powerful tool in the pursuit of a just democratic state.

In conclusion, grassroots movements are instrumental in driving legal reform and promoting justice. Their ability to mobilize communities, challenge existing norms, and shape public discourse underscores the importance of citizen engagement in the legal transformation process. By fostering collaboration between grassroots activists and legal professionals, nations can work towards creating legal frameworks that reflect the values of democracy and justice.

Future Directions for Legal Transformation

As nations strive towards the transformation of national law into a just democratic state, it is essential to consider future directions for legal reform. The evolving landscape of global politics, social movements, and technological advancements presents both challenges and opportunities



for legal transformation. This section explores potential pathways for advancing legal reforms that promote justice and democracy.

One of the key areas for future legal transformation is the integration of technology into legal processes. The rise of digital platforms has the potential to enhance access to justice by providing individuals with information and resources to navigate the legal system. Online legal services, virtual court hearings, and digital advocacy

campaigns can democratize access to legal resources, particularly for marginalized communities. Embracing technology in legal reform efforts can help bridge the gap between citizens and the legal system.

Here is a comprehensive table that illustrates the complexities and limitations of current legal frameworks in various nations, particularly focusing on their impact on marginalized groups:

Aspect	Description	Examples of Limitations	Implications for Democratic Progress
Complexity of Legal Frameworks	Legal systems are often composed of multiple layers, including statutory laws, customary laws, and international treaties.	- Conflicting laws can create confusion. - Inconsistent application across different regions or communities.	- Hinders clarity and accessibility to justice for all citizens. - Creates barriers to legal recourse for marginalized groups.
Strides Towards Inclusivity	Some nations have enacted reforms aimed at promoting equality and justice within their legal systems.	- Implementation may be inconsistent. - Reforms may not reach all sectors of society effectively.	- While progress is made, gaps remain that allow discrimination to persist against vulnerable populations.
Outdated Practices	Certain legal traditions continue to exist despite being incompatible with modern democratic values.	- Laws based on outdated cultural norms (e.g., gender roles). - Resistance to change from traditional power structures.	- Maintains systemic inequalities that hinder social progress and the establishment of a just state.
Failure to Protect Marginalized Groups	Many jurisdictions lack adequate protections for women, ethnic minorities, and other vulnerable populations.	- Discriminatory practices in law enforcement. - Limited access to legal representation or resources for these groups.	- Erodes public trust in the legal system; individuals feel unprotected under the law leading to disengagement from civic processes.
Systemic Discrimination	Laws are often applied unevenly across different demographics leading to inequitable outcomes in justice delivery.	- Higher incarceration rates among minority groups. - Gender-based violence often goes unpunished due to inadequate laws.	- Undermines principles of fairness; perpetuates cycles of poverty and disenfranchisement among affected communities.

Furthermore, the promotion of participatory governance is crucial for ensuring that legal reforms reflect the needs of the populace. Engaging citizens in the law-making process fosters a sense of ownership and accountability. Mechanisms such as public consultations, citizen assemblies, and participatory budgeting can empower individuals to contribute to the development of laws that impact their lives. This inclusive approach to governance is essential for building trust in the legal system and promoting democratic values.

Additionally, the intersectionality of legal issues must be recognized in future reform efforts. Legal frameworks should address the diverse experiences of individuals, particularly those who face multiple forms of discrimination. By adopting an intersectional approach, lawmakers can develop policies that are responsive to the

unique challenges faced by various groups. This holistic perspective is vital for creating a legal system that promotes equity and justice for all.

Moreover, fostering collaboration between various stakeholders, including government agencies, civil society organizations, and the private sector, is essential for advancing legal transformation. Multi-stakeholder partnerships can facilitate the sharing of resources, knowledge, and expertise, leading to more effective legal reforms. By working together, these stakeholders can develop comprehensive strategies that address the root causes of legal injustices and promote sustainable change. In conclusion, the future of legal transformation towards a just democratic state lies in embracing innovation, promoting participatory governance, recognizing intersectionality, and fostering collaboration. By adopting these approaches, nations can work towards creating legal frameworks that uphold justice, protect human rights, and



reflect the values of democracy. The journey towards legal transformation is ongoing, and it requires the collective efforts of all stakeholders to achieve meaningful and lasting change.

4. Conclusion

The transformation of national law towards a just democratic state is a multifaceted process that requires a comprehensive understanding of historical contexts, current legal frameworks, and the systemic challenges faced by marginalized groups. As nations strive to create legal systems that reflect democratic values and principles of justice, it becomes evident that significant disparities still exist. These disparities often stem from outdated practices and inconsistent application of laws, which disproportionately affect vulnerable populations such as women and ethnic minorities. Addressing these inequities is not only essential for fostering public trust in the legal system but also crucial for ensuring that all citizens can participate fully in the democratic process.

Moreover, achieving a just democratic state necessitates ongoing reforms aimed at inclusivity and equity within legal frameworks. This involves not only revising existing laws but also implementing mechanisms to ensure their fair application across all demographics. The path forward must include active engagement with civil society, advocacy for marginalized voices, and adherence to international human rights standards. By prioritizing these efforts, nations can work towards dismantling systemic barriers and creating an environment where justice prevails for all individuals.

5. References

Akehurst, M., & Akehurst, M. (2020). *International law: A modern introduction*. Routledge.

Alston, P., & Robinson, M. (2019). *Human rights and development: Towards mutual reinforcement*. Oxford University Press.

Baxi, U., & Baxi, U. (2018). *The future of human rights*. Oxford University Press.

Bellamy, A., & Williams, P.D. (2019). *Understanding global security*. Routledge.

Brownlie, I., & Goodwin-Gill G.S.(2020). *Principles of public international law*. Oxford University Press.

Choudhry S., et al.(2021). *Constitutional design for divided societies: Integration or accommodation?* Cambridge University Press.

Dembour M.B.(2018). *Who believes in human rights?* Cambridge University Press.

Donnelly J.(2020) . *Universal human rights in theory and practice*. Cornell University Press

Falk R.A.(2019) . *Human rights horizons: The pursuit of justice in a globalizing world*. Routledge

Friedman L.M.(2021) . *A history of American law*. Simon

& Schuster

Goldsmith J.L.& Posner E.A(2017) .*The limits of international law*. Oxford University Press

Harris D.J(2020).*Cases and materials on international law*. Sweet & Maxwell

Hoffmann C.J(2018).*International humanitarian law: Theory practice policy* Cambridge University Press

Keck M.E.& Sikkink K(2021).*Activists beyond borders: Cornell University Press*

Lawson F.H(2019).*Law's order: Princeton University Press*

Marks S.P(2020).*Human rights in global politics: Cambridge university press*

Nussbaum M.C(2017)*Creating capabilities: Harvard university press*

O'Connell H.M& O'Connell H.M*(Eds)(2022)*The United Nations Security Council and war* Oxford university press.

Abesadze, G., & Abesadze, N. (2024). Political stability and democratic governance. *Business and Legislation*.

Bernatt, M. (2024). Competition law through the lenses of national constitutions: Connecting competitive markets with socio-economic and environmental values. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement*.

Colomer, J. M. (2024). Parliamentary America: The least radical means of radically repairing our broken democracy. *Perspectives on Politics*.

Dimitrova, S. (2024). Reflections on the problems of higher education in the Republic of Bulgaria. *Science International Journal*.

Herisasono, A. (2025). Legal Liability of Health Care Facilities for Leakage of Patient Electronic Medical Records. *Pena Justisia: Media Komunikasi dan Kajian Hukum*

Karakamisheva-Jovanovska, T. (2024). Constitutional and legal aspects of national law transformation. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Law*.

Ningsih, S. (2025). Disparitas Putusan dalam Pembatalan Penetapan Pengangkatan Anak (Adopsi) Oleh Orang Tua Angkat: Analisis Beberapa Putusan Pengadilan di Indonesia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. Retrieved from uit-lirboyo.ac.id

Odion, W. E. (2023). Surviving Continuity: The Albatross of Legislators and Legislative Assemblies in the Consolidation of Democracy in Nigeria. *National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies*.

Ostapiak, V., & Serdynskyi, V. (2024). The path to European integration through resilience and transformation. *Coordinates of Public Administration*.

Putri, D. R. D., & Rahmawati, N. B. (2025). Citizenship and national identity in legal transformation. *Jurnal PKM Manajemen Bisnis*.

Shiga, B. A., & Rosdiana, H. (2025). Impact of Taxation Policy Changes on Benefits in Kind Under the Harmonization of Tax Regulations Law: Implications for Compliance and Economic Sustainability. *Journal of Economic Resilience and Sustainability*.

Sixto-García, J., Palomo, B., & Peñafiel, C. (2024). Self-regulation and co-regulation as governance solutions.



- Frontiers in Communication.
- Stearns, M. L. (2024). Constitutional polarization: A critical review of the U.S. political system. *Perspectives on Politics*.
- Sumbulah, U. (2025). Child Marriage from the Perspective of Hadith in the Hermeneutic Study of Muhammad Syahrur. *Pena Justisia*.
- Syaidi, R. (2021). Independence of the General Election Commission and Election Supervisory Board for Democratic Elections. *Jurnal Akta*, 11(2).
 Syaidi, R. (2021). Independence of the General Election Commission and Election Supervisory Board for Democratic Elections. *Jurnal Akta*, 11(2), 1–11.
<https://journal.uui.ac.id/IUSTUM/article/view/535>, 1–11.
<https://journal.uui.ac.id/IUSTUM/article/view/535>
- Syaidi, R., & Budiarto, A. (2022). Inner Fingerprint Check Criminal Action Investigation. *ICLSSEE*, 470.
<https://books.google.co.id/books?id=V7KSEAAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false>
- TARIGAN, R. S. (2024). MENUJU NEGARA HUKUM YANG BERKEADILAN. *Ruang Karya Bersama*.
- Volk, S. S. (2025). *Chile's constitution and the reimagination of national identity*. Routledge.

